

JAN 1-2 2012

Tracy Aldrich

Plover, WI 54467

RE: MUR 6515 Tracy Aldrich

Dear Mr. Aldrich:

On August 5, 2011, Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin ("PFFW") notified the Commission of the possibility that PFFW, and former PFFW Executive Board officers, Tracy Aldrich, Robert Baird, Michael Drury, Richard Gale, John Gee, Troy Haase, Lance Hanson, Patrick Kilbane, Len Orlando, Ann Watzka f/k/a Ann Peggs and Michael Woodzicka, may have violated certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") in connection with activity between 2002-2010.

After reviewing the submission, the Commission found reason to believe, on December 13, 2011, that PFFW and each of the above-named Executive Board Officers knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f, provisions of the Act, and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.4(b)(i) and 114.2(b) of the Commission's regulations in connection with their reported 2002 to 2008 activity. The Commission also found reason to believe that PFFW and PFFW Executive Board officers Robert Baird, John Gee, and Lance Hanson violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.4(b)(i) and 114.2(b) in connection with their reported 2009 to 2010 activity, and that Messrs. Baird, Gee and Hanson's 2009-2010 violations had been knowing and willful. Enclosed is the Factual and Legal Analysis that sets forth the basis for the Commission's determinations.

Please note that PFFW and its former Executive Board officere have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter until notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519.

Tracy Aldrich
MUR 6515 (Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin et al.)
Page 2

Tracy Aldrich
MUR 6515 (Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin et al.)
Page 3

In the meantime, this matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. You may submit a written request for relevant information gathered by the Commission in the course of its investigation of this matter. See Agency Procedure for Disclosure of Documents and Information in the Enforcement Process, 76 Fed. Reg. 34986 (June 15, 2011).

We look forward to your response.

On behalf of the Commission,

Cause C. H.

Caroline C. Hunter

Chair

**Enclosures** 

Factual and Legal Analysis

1 2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS				
4	MUR 6515				
5 6	RESPONDENT: Tracy Aldrich				
7 8	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>				
9	This matter originated with a sua sponte submission made to the Federal Election				
10	Commission ("the Commission") by the Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin ("PFFW") and	i			
11	certain individuals who served as PFFW Exemitive Basid officers at different points between				
12	2002 and 2010 (collectively referred to as "Respondents"). For the reasons set forth below, the	;			
13	Commission found that there was reason to believe that the Professional Fire Fighters of				
14	Wisconsin Executive Board officer Tracy Aldrich knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C.				
15	§§ 441b(a) and 441f; and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.4(b)(ii) and (iii) and 114.2(e) with respect to federa	1			
16	contributions by PFFW from 2002 through 2008.				
17	II. <u>FACTUAL SUMMARY</u>				
18	PFFW, the statewide affiliate of the International Association of Fire Fighters ("IAFF")	),			
19	reimbursed eleven of its officers for \$18,263.34 in contributions to IAFF's separate segregated				
20	fund, International Association of Firefighters Interested in Registration and Education PAC				
21	("FIREPAC") benvom 2002-2010.				
22	PFFW mimbursed the FIREPAC contributions in two ways. Between 2002 and 2008,				
23	with the authorization of the full Executive Board, PFFW reimbursed eleven officers for				
24	\$16,888.34 in FIREPAC contributions via claims they submitted for expenses related to fictition	us			
25	"legislative meetings" in Madison, Wisconsin. Submission at 6-7. In 2009 and 2010, after the	;			
26	fictitious "legislative meetings" scheme ended, without the knowledge of the full Executive				

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 Board, PFFW reimbursed three officers for \$1,375 in FIREPAC contributions via claims they
- 2 submitted for expenses related to conference registration fees that they never actually paid.
- 3 PFFW represents that it has 1) obtained repayments of all known amounts of the
- 4 unlawfully reimbursed contributions; 2) notified its regional caucus and local unions about the
- 5 improper expense payment practices; 3) notified FIREPAC of the unlawful reimbursements; and
- 6 4) obtained the resignations of remaining Executive Board officers who received unlawful
- 7 contribution mimbursements.

## A. The 2002-2002 Reimbursements

PFFW is governed by an eleven officer Executive Board, all of whom are full-time firefighters. The officers are elected to staggered three-year terms at annual IAFF/PFFW state conventions. Submission at 3. Tracy Aldrich was a PFFW Executive Board officer from 2006 to 2008. During a January 2002 leadership retreat, PFFW's then existing Executive Board encouraged its officers to increase their FIREPAC contributions to a level that would also allow them to attend the IAFF annual conference without paying a registration fee. *Id.* When some Executive Board officers expressed concern about their ability to afford larger contributions to FIREPAC, the Executive Board agreed that "any officer who made such a contribution in order to attend the logislative conference would be able to subrait an expense statement to the PFFW for two administrative days to be characterized an a 'legislative meeting' in Madison [Wisconsin]." *Id.* at 6-7. PFFW states that the "legislative meeting" contrivance was adopted in order to reduce, if not eliminate, the financial burden to Board members who made the larger contributions to FIREPAC instead of paying the registration fee to IAFF. *Id.* at 7. During similar retreats held during January or February of each successive year - with the exception of

<sup>1</sup> Tracy Aldrich was not an Executive Board officer at the time the unlawful reimbursement scheme was created.

MUR 6515
Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin et al
Factual and Legal Analysis for Tracy Aldrich

- 1 2004 when the topic was apparently not raised PFFW designated similar "legislative meeting"
- 2 dates as a vehicle for the reimbursement of that year's FIREPAC contributions by Executive
- 3 Board officers.<sup>2</sup> Id. at 7.
- 4 PFFW asserts that the 2002 retreat was the first and last time that its Executive Board
- 5 discussed this repayment practice in any depth, and the practice continued until 2008, "without
- 6 legal review or operational analysis." Submission at 7. According to the declarations of the
- 7 Executive Board officers, none of them considered the legal ramifications of the reimbarroment
- 8 program under the Act or other laws, and most, if net all, of those who participated in the 2062
- 9 retreat had not seen IAFF or FIREPAC materials advising not to seek reimbursement for
- 10 contributions in connection with attendance at the legislative conference. Id. at 7; see also
- 11 Declarations.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PFFW argues that the 2002 agreement was not the product of any pre-retreat planning by any officer and there was no specific discussion about whether such practices complied with applicable laws or IAFF policies. Submission at 14. Nevertheless, all of the PFFW officers acknowledge that they made false claims for the reimbursement of expenses from fictitious "legislative meetings" as a means to obtain reimbursement of FIREPAC contributions.

In 2008, Michael Wooslzicks replaced Richard Gale as PFFW President. Submission at 7. In preparation for the 2009 retrost, Woodzicks roviswed PFFW's practices and procedures, as well as IAFF legislative conference registration materials stating that contributions to FIREPAC could not be reimbursed with union funds. Submission at 8; see also Woodzicks Declaration at ¶ 13. Woodzicks stopped the practice of making reimbursements for non-existent meetings

ŀ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although there were no designated "legislative meeting" dates in 2004, and therefore no reimbursements for contributions, the omission was noted at the 2005 retreat and the officers agreed to designate three days, rather than the customary turn days, of "logislative meetings" in 2005 to compensate for the 2004 omission. *Id.* at 7.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MUR 6515
Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin et al
Factual and Legal Analysis for Tracy Aldrich

- because it was an "unwritten practice" and he believed that "there should be clear policies to
- 2 ensure that Executive Board members were fairly reimbursed for legitimate expenses that they
- actually incurred on the PFFW's behalf." Id. Although Woodzicka ended the practice of
- 4 scheduling fictitious legislative meetings in 2008, PFFW did not take any corrective action or
- 5 consider self-reporting the improper reimbursements for more than a year.

## B. Corrective Actions

In January 2010, Joseph Conway, an IAFF Vice-President, advised PFFW that he had learned of PFFW's improper reimbursements of FIREPAC contributions, and he asked what corrective actions PFFW would take. Submission at 16. In March 2010, PFFW consulted with counsel and established a "Special Committee" to review the expense payment practices and recommend a course of action. *Id.* After the Special Committee concluded its review, PFFW sent letters on April 10, 2010, to each of the eleven past and current Executive Board officers itemizing the amounts known to have been reimbursed between 2004 and 2008, inviting any corrections, asking for estimates of reimbursements between 2002 and 2003, and requesting repayment of all contribution reimbursements. *Id.* at 9; see also Submission Attachments. All eleven Executive Board officers repaid at least the specific sums requested, and some paid additional amounts to reflect 2002 and 2003 contribution reimbursements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PFFW is unable to provide the exact reimburament figures for 2002 and 2003 because in 2009, it shredded its pre-2005 financial records, including the expense statements submitted by PFFW officers. *Id.* at 8. While PFFW has the electronic Quickbook files for those years, they only record payments and not explanations of the purposes of payments to officers or others. *Id.* PFFW asserts that it shredded documents on the advice of its accountant, the shredding had nothing to do with the expense payment practice, and it happened before the internal review. *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> PFFW initially requested repayments from officers totaling \$14,193 but received a total of \$18,263.49 in repayments from these individuals. The incommit repayments the minimum repayments from individual Executive Pour defficers with had their coun desumentation or estimates of reinsbursaments during 2002 and 2003 plus \$1,375 from individual Executive Roard officers wise used other means to cause PFFW to reinsburse FIREPAC contributions must be a 2009-2010.

# MUR 6515 Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin et al Factual and Legal Analysis for Tracy Aldrich

#### C. Summary

2 PFFW's payments to reimburse Executive Board officers for FIREPAC contributions are

### 3 summarized below.

1

**4 5** 

Executive Board Officers	Estimated Officer Reimbursement Amts. for 2062 and 2003	Officer Reimbursement Amts. for 2005-2008	Officer Reimbursement Amts. for 2009-2010	Total amt. repaid by Officers for 2002- 2010
Aldrich	<b>\$</b> 0	\$1,027.70	n/a	\$1,027.70
	F. 3 C. XV 4231	(81,80)	\$325	\$1000
Drury	\$837.71	\$2,162.29	\$0	\$3,000
ch.tle	्रिक्टिक्ट	J. States and	100	Significant and the second sec
Gee	\$443.66	\$1,956.34	\$475	\$2,875
BERKS	<u> </u>	\$ 30,000	of t	ខ្សែ(ខ្មែនភេទ
Hanson_	\$131.11	\$2,068.89	\$475	\$2,675
Sthate .	1 Strain	30	116.	
Orlando	n/a.	\$678.45	n/a	\$678.45
12. (24.4)	100	122 200	1116:3	1992 AN
Woodzicka	\$56.53	\$1,100	\$0	\$1,156.53
TOTALS				
	\$2,497.42	\$14,391.02	\$1,375	\$18,263.34

# III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

6 The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("Act") prohibits a labor 7 organization from making a contribution in connection with any election and any officer of any labor organization from consenting to any contribution by the labor organization. 2 U.S.C. 8 9 § 441b(a) and 11 C.F.R. 114.2(e). The Act further provides that "no person shall make a 10 contribution in the name of another person," 2 U.S.C. § 441f and 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(t)(i). The 11 prohibition extends to knowingly permitting one's name to be used to offect the making of 12 contribution in the name of another or knowingly helping or assisting any person in making a 13 contribution in the name of another. 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(ii) and )(iii). The Commission's Explanation and Justification ("E&J") states that "knowingly helping or assisting" applies to 14 15 "those who initiate or instigate or have some significant participation in a plan or scheme to

MUR 6515
Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin et al
Factual and Legal Analysis for Tracy Aldrich

- 1 make a contribution in the name of another . . . ." E&J for 11 C.F.R. § 110.4 at 54 Fed. Reg.
- 2 34,105 (Aug. 17, 1989).
- The Act also addresses violations of law that are knowing and willful. See 2 U.S.C.
- 4 §§ 437g(a)(5)(B) and 437g(d). The knowing and willful standard requires knowledge that one is
- 5 violating the law. Federal Election Commission v. John A. Dramesi for Congress Committee.
- 6 640 F. Supp. 985, 987 (D. N.J. 1986). A knowing and willful violation may be established "by
- 7 proof that the defendant acted deliberately and with knowledge that the remessentation was
- 8 false." United States v. Hopkins, 916 F.2d 207, 214 (5th Cir. 1990). Evidence does not have to
- 9 show that the defendant had a specific knowledge of the regulations; an inference of knowing
- and willful conduct may be drawn from the defendant's scheme to disguise the source of funds
- used in illegal activities. *Id.* at 213-15.

# A. PFFW & Executive Board Officers/Conduits

- The expense reimbursement scheme that PFFW began in 2002 designated two days per
- 14 year for "legislative meetings" that never took place and allowed the Executive Board officers to
- 15 be reimbursed for their FIREPAC contributions by claiming expenses incurred in connection
- with these fictional meetings. Submission at 6-7. Between 2002 and 2008, PFFW disbursed
  - 17 \$16,888.34 to minimum FIREPAC contributions. Id. at 3. In addition, between 2009 and 2010,
  - 18 PFFW disbursed \$1,375 to reimburse FIREPAC contributions. Id. at 10-12.
  - 19 The individual respondents were officers of PFFW who consented to the use of
  - 20 prohibited labor union treasury funds to reimburse FIREPAC contributions, allowed their names
  - 21 to be used to make these contributions, and knowingly helped or assisted in the making of
  - 22 contributions in the names of others. While the Commission frequently takes no action as to

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

- subordinate conduits responding to pressure from their employer/superior, the Commission has
- 2 pursued officers who consented to and assisted in the use of corporate or union funds to make
- 3 reimbursements. See MUR 5357 (Centex) (the Commission approved reason to believe
- 4 findings against the corporation and the officers for making and consenting to the use of
- 5 prohibited funds to make contributions in the names of others).

Accordingly, the Commission found reason to believe that Tracy Aldrich violated

7 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441f, and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.4(a)(ii) and (iii) and 114.2(e) by consenting to

the use of prohibited latios union treasury funds to make unutributions in the manes of others, by

permitting his/her mame to be used to make contributions in the name of another, and by

knowingly helping or assisting the PFFW in the making of contributions in the names of others.

# B. Knowing and Willful

# 1. 2002 through 2008 Reimbursement Scheme

The individual Executive Board officers concealed the 2002-2008 reimbursements by authorizing the officers to claim expenses for fictitious "legislative meetings." The individual officers claim there was no pre-planning or discussion about whether such practices would comply with the Act or IAFF policy. But, the Executive Board want to considerable lengths to concerd the reimbursements over a number of years by allowing its afficant to be reimbursed for expense vouchers they knew were false. PFFW acknowledges that the Executive Board had the option of revising its existing policies to provide for legitimate reimbursement for the officers' time and efforts. Submission at 7. Instead, it chose a false method to reimburse itself for the

There is no information that these officers were coerced into agreeing to this scheme. In fact, it appears that there were some Executive Buard officers who mayor participant in the reimbursament scheme. The Submission states that at different times between 2002 and 2008, there were four additional Executive Board officers who did not seek reimbursement payments under the expense payment practice, Submission at 16. However, the Submission does not identify these individuals and is silent as to whether they conserved to the use of the union's treasury funds to make contributions in the name of another. *Id.* Given the circumstances, including the impending statute of limitations, the Commission dealined to take any action as to these four unnamed Executive Board officers.

## **MUR 6515** Professional Fire Fighters of Wisconsin et al Factual and Legal Analysis for Tracy Aldrich

- 1 FIREPAC contributions. Thus, even if Respondents were not aware of the Act's specific
- prohibitions, Respondents' use of fictitious "legislative meetings" to conceal the reimbursements 2
- strongly suggests they knew that the reimbursements were improper. United States v. Hopkins, 3
- 916 F.2d 207, 214 (5th Cir. 1990). 4
- 5 Accordingly, the Commission found that Tracy Aldrich's violations of 2 U.S.C.
- §§ 441b(a) and 441f and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.4(b)(ii) (iii) and 114.2(e) from 2002 to 2008 were 6
- 7 knowing and willful.